CHINERTAL PROTECTION	
our Van	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1110112 DATE: <u>12/8/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>3:45 PM</u> DEPAR	Г: <u>4:15 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FT PIERCE BATCH PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 4190 SELVITZ RD			
FT PIERCE 34981			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Thoma Email: Tlang@PRESTIGE-GUNITE.COM CONTACT NAME: Greg Leone Email: gleone@prestige-concrete.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/19/2008 / 10/19/2013 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: (561)472-4 PHONE: (772)465-0 Mobile: 7-5190522	045	
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>		(check \square only one box for each question)	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Thomas Lang</u>			
Brief Notes:			
 Is the Authorized Representative still MICHAEL MAHO If no, who is?: <u>Thomas Lang</u> 	NEY?	🗌 Yes 🛛No	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative upd 3. Is the facility contact still JOE HOOKS? If no, who is?: <u>Greg Leone</u>	ate within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's insp If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 d	ection? ays in advance?	YesNo YesNo	

Emissions Unit Section

6 - CCB Plant-2silos(cement)/loadoutw/cent.dust collectorPlant#2 subject to Reasonable Precautions			
	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/22/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🛛 Yes	□ No □ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	led		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes	□ No □ No	
 a) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ on for each qu	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	🛛 Yes 🖄 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No No No No
$\frac{54,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}$		
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ or for each q	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	_	_
devices?	Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		No No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	l	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of bot	h stationary and relocatable	box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?		ing question 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement an	d		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		TYes	□ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.			
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department of		—	—
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business da b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Noti			∐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five bus			□ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notif			
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fi	we business days prior to relocation	? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separat	e air construction or air operation p	ermit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions up		_	_
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine p If YES, what was the purpose?	ourpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa	ge)? 📋 Yes	∐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long	; it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes	L No
CHANGES		(1 1 7	1
		(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes:			question
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocat operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor ad			□ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day			No No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		—	
a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement			🛛 No 🛛 No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is su			\bowtie No
d. A change in ownership?		Yes	\bowtie No
4. If the answer to any question $3a - d$. is YES, was a new registration	ation form and the appropriate fee s	ubmitted	
30 days prior to the change?			□ No
Michelle Robinson-Austin	12/8/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 12/8/2011		

COMMENTS:

The inspection was hosted by Greg Leone the plant manager. All records were well organized and easily accessible. The owner stated that a Visible Emissions test was conducted by Arlington Environmental Services in January for Plant #2. Plant #1 is currently inactive. Plant #2 has two silos that share one emission point. The facility was well maintained and dust was minimal. Suppression of dust by use of wet methods was observed. Changes in authorized representative were notated.